

Application Serial No. 10/092,571  
Response to Office Action dated September 13, 2006

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 13 2006

**REMARKS/ARGUMENTS**


The Office Action sets forth a six-way restriction of the subject matter previously set forth in claims 1-8. Initially, the Applicant partially traverses the restriction requirement, in particular submitting that at least Group I, Group II, Group IV and Group VI (claims 1, 2, 4, 6, and 8) should have been indicated as being examined together. The Applicant believes that the invention as defined by the Group I, Group II, Group IV and Group VI claims relate to a common inventive concept, namely, the combination of a system for generating a prescription label and a bar code which can subsequently be read by a bar code reader, with the label being created by a software program. Thus, hopefully the Examiner can recognize the common inventive concept.

Regardless, in order to fully respond to the Office Action, the Applicant elects Group I directed to the prescription form, while retaining the right to later file one or more divisional applications based on the non-elected subject-matter. In light of this election, claims 3, 5 and 7 have been canceled, while claims 1, 4, 6 and 8 have been amended. As amended, it is respectfully submitted that all of the pending claims read on the elected invention.

Application Serial No. 10/092,571  
Response to Office Action dated September 13, 2006

Based on the above election, it is submitted that this application is in condition for substantive examination. To this end, early and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested. A petition for a one-month extension of time to file this response and the appropriate fee are enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,



Everett G. Diederiks, Jr.  
Attorney for Applicant  
Reg. No. 33,323

Date: November 13, 2006  
DIEDERIKS & WHITELAW, PLC  
12471 Dillingham Square, #301  
Woodbridge, VA 22192  
Tel: (703) 583-8300  
Fax: (703) 583-8301